IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.37931 of 2008
MD. MAUSAM
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 4.9.2008 Heard Sri Pankaj Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner on the renewal application for bail which was earlier dismissed by Annexure-1, an order passed in Cr.Misc.Petition no.55501 of 2007. The same ground has been agitated that the petitioner was merely sitting at the site where the mini gun factory was established and had nothing to do with the works being carried on there.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

The court, being not satisfied on the contentions and being influenced by the facts of the case specially that it was a serious incident of running a mini gun factory for manufacturing illegal arms and further that no independent person was ready to associate himself with the search and seizure, had refused the prayer. The court had directed the commitment of the case rapidly to the court of Sessions and that is submitted complied with. The trial has to be concluded with similar ado and in a heady manner so as to disposing of the same in a further period of one and half years,

else the trial court shall have to explain to this court as to why it could not reach the time frame. So as to assisting the court, I could direct it to go through **AIR 2002 SC 270 Shailendra Kumar Vrs. State of Bihar** and issue notice to the officer-in-charge of concerned police station for production of the witnesses by fixing the case for hearing witnesses on day to day basis.

(Dharnidhar Jha, J.)

B.Kr.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL